THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.12911 of 2006

ORAL ORDER:

It appears, petitioner was declared unfit due to defective eye vision. When he was not provided with alternative employment, earlier he filed W.P.No.1379 of 2002. This Court by order dated 24.11.2004 disposed of the said writ petition directing the respondents to provide alternative employment to the petitioner. According to the petitioner, though he was provided with alternative employment as Shramik vide order dated 22.6.2005, his salary in the cadre of Driver was not protected as required under Section 47 of the Persons with Disability (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Protection) Act, 1995.

Under those circumstances, the respondents are directed to consider for protecting the salary drawn by the petitioner as Driver at the time of declaring him medically unfit while providing alternative employment. Petitioner is entitled for difference of wages from 22.6.2005, but he is not entitled for any wages from the date of declaring him as medically unfit till the date of his reinstatement on 22.6.2005.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

C.V.RAMULU,
J

Date: 3.12.2007
DA

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.12911 of 2006

3.12.2007

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P.No.12911 of 2006

Date: 3rd December, 2007

Between:

E.Rikthashi

.. Petitioner

And

APSRTC, rep. by its Vice Chairman
and Managing Director, Musheerabad,
Hyderabad & another.

.. Respondents